



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.36, No.19

May 19th, 2000

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: *"Toward the end of the last glaciation three waves of distinctly different people surged down the Indonesian corridor into the country... The first of these were the Negrito ancestors of the Lake Barrine (Qld.) folk, simple hunter-gatherers, pygmoid in stature... resembling in many respects their bushman cousins in Africa... The next wave of immigrants carried the true Australoids... to displace their tiny predecessors, exterminating most...driving others to dismal refuge areas...Finally, some ten thousand years ago, to put an arbitrary date on the movement, the third wave of 'Carpentarians' (Gulf of Carpentaria) entered Australia... All of these people – the pygmoid Barrineans, the hairy golden Murrayians, the part-blood Tasmanians who remain, and the tall black Carpentarians – are physically distinctive and can properly be called 'a people' as distinguished from 'a culture'."*
– "Down Among the Wildmen" by John Greenway 1973

ABORIGINAL COUNCIL CALL TO CHANGE CONSTITUTION by Betty Luks:

The 'Council for Aboriginal Reconciliation' is calling for the alteration of the Commonwealth Constitution Act to include recognition of the Aborigines as the first people of Australia.

The Council is also calling for the date of Australia Day to be changed and the low level of indigenous representation in parliaments to be remedied.

The 'roadmap' is broken into four national strategies that examine ways for Aboriginal people to achieve economic independence, overcome disadvantage and a strategy for sustaining the reconciliation process – *The Age*, 11/5/2000.

A foundation by the name of 'Reconciliation Australia' will be set up to continue where the Council leaves off at the end of this year. It has also recommended the government establish a legislative process to deal with the 'unfinished business' of reconciliation. The council said legislative change must take place "so indigenous rights can be recognised in a constitutional preamble".

But, let us be in no doubt as to what is intended for Australia and it is not what most Australians hope for. In 1982, former communist Geoff McDonald explained the forces behind the push for 'land rights' and what was the ultimate objective.

His summary in the letter to *The Australian* newspaper, 10/2/1984, should be heeded by us all:

"Mitchell's cartoon (*Australian*, 30/12/1983) shows a crowd of white people laughing over the claim of the National Aboriginal Conference that sovereignty of Western Australia should be ceded to present-day Aborigines... the demands are being pursued with the real objective of establishing Aboriginal prior

ownership under terms of international law... The argument over whether Australia was acquired by 'conquest' and not by 'occupation' is central to the claim that the United Nations can recognise land rights area as a separate nation...All Australians should see this land rights controversy as no laughing matter..." Now known as the "father of reconciliation" Patrick Dodson has called on John Howard to endorse an independent commission *"to oversee a treaty between black and white"*. He went on to say, "If there was no agreement reached between Government and indigenous negotiators *then the treaty question should be put to a referendum*" (emphasis added).

A conference of the Federation of Aboriginal Land Councils gave a full airing of the Marxist objective of a sovereign Aboriginal nation in Alice Springs, November 27th, 1981. The following day the meeting was reported in the Melbourne *Age*:

"An organiser of the federation meeting, Father Pat Dobson, said there would be no agreement until the Federal Government comes to terms with the fact that we are a sovereign nation".

Geoff McDonald observed, "Supported by the Labor Opposition they (the Federal Australian law-makers) are accepting a doctrine of revolution by instalments... The situation is moving inexorably towards a cataclysmic climax time when the united nations will be manipulated to declare the "Land Rights" areas as a separate black nation.

"Father Pat Dobson has candidly explained the ultimate objective, which is not just the establishment of an independent black nation in Australia but also the eventual taking of the whole of Australia from the white man. "The nation belongs to the Aboriginal people, and it has never been handed over or ceded," said Father Dobson.

It would seem that even ancient Australian history has 'gone down George Orwell's memory hole'. If anthropologist and author John Greenway is correct, the ancestors of the present-day Aborigines were not the first Australians. In fact, history retrieved from the 'memory-hole' is revealing the ancestors of the present-day Aborigines annihilated and/or assimilated the original inhabitants of this land!

ZIMBABWE: EXAMPLE OF THINGS TO COME FOR AUSTRALIA?

Are Australians taking particular note of what is happening in Zimbabwe – and South Africa – as conditions continue to deteriorate and murder and mayhem continue unabated? That little nation was 'liberated' by the 'international community' strongly supported by Malcolm Fraser and the Liberal government of the time.

To effect the 'liberation' process, Robert Mugabe invited foreign troops in to help him – highly trained troops from Communist North Korea... the very nation that is now being 'invited in out of the cold' by the 'international community'.

Rhodesia was handed over to the proxy regime of Marxist Robert Mugabe and his thugs, as a prelude to its future 'internationalisation'.

"At the time, Africa was in no fit state to be included in the planned one-world dispensation with its New International Economic Order and its World Government," explained Ivor Benson; *The Truth Out of Africa*, 1982.

"Africa had to be put through the wringer; its natural resources had to be made ready for international

ownership and control; it had to be given a number of bogus political regimes which can be fully internationalised when the time is ripe..."

Having been Information Advisor to the Rhodesian Front Government in 1964-5, Mr. Benson warned Australians "...they hadn't yet realised that they are now getting the full attention of those revolutionary forces which have already transformed most of Africa."

He observed, "The parallel with Africa is very close. As in Africa, land and natural resources were ostensibly given to the Blacks, so in Australia large areas with their natural resources are being given to Aborigines. In both cases the reality is the same; natural resources are made ready for final transfer to an international authority..."

"*Delayed justice for the Aborigines* however, is not the only ploy that can be used to get control of natural resources out of the hands of state (and federal) governments and made ready for internationalisation... Areas rich in natural resources which cannot be handed over to Blacks in Africa and to Aborigines in Australia as a prelude to their future internationalisation can... be handed over to the United Nations as areas of unspoiled nature to be preserved as part of mankind's precious heritage".

To his credit, John Howard has, so far, refused to play into the hands of these forces and has "...rejected key elements of a proposed declaration..." *Age*, 11/5. The Council planned for "the declaration to be endorsed by the Parliament and eventually protected under legislation."

Further reading: *Red Over Black* by Geoff McDonald; *Truth Out of Africa* by Ivor Benson.

THE 1967 REFERENDUM by Antonia Feitz:

Anthony Mundine is just the latest of many people to claim that Aborigines weren't citizens until the 1967 referendum. Though the claim has attained the status of a defining myth, it's simply not true. The result of that referendum allowed for Aborigines to be counted in the census; required them to vote; and provided for the Commonwealth to enact laws "for members of the Aboriginal race". A 1948 Act had conferred citizenship on all Australians, and, in some States, Aborigines had never been deprived of the vote.

Most activists – black and white – along with the general public, are also ignorant of the fact that Aboriginal activists in the 1930s were strongly assimilationist. The mainstream media keeps everybody in the dark about that one too. We've quoted and referenced it in a previous issue, but it bears repetition that in a letter to the then Prime Minister, Aboriginal leader William Cooper wrote: "We want the right to full education, academic and cultural and industrial, and to be able to take our place beside the white race in full equality and responsibility. We ask the right to be fully British."

Such a politically incorrect statement would really mortify the concerned actors, the hand-wringing bishops and the likes of Mundine, wouldn't it? The facts are there, and are available to anybody with the honesty to do his or her own research. But for too many people it's easier to uncritically swallow the propaganda churned out by the Aboriginal industry, the media and the various churches' social justice secretariats, than to think for themselves.

THE BIG PICTURE CONTINUES TO DEVELOP by Alfred King:

The collectors and investors among our readers should seriously consider putting aside some examples of Australian bank notes and coins as we now enter the final few years that the establishment intends them to be in circulation.

In October, 1999, the Secretary-General of ASEAN Rodolfo Severino, said in Manila that it would soon be time to dispense with the annual leaders meeting of APEC nations. *The Australian's* International Editor, Paul Kelly, a great admirer of Paul Keating, is horrified by the proposal. "It confirms that a body of opinion exists in ASEAN that wants to dismantle APEC as a political force". Severino would like to see APEC revert to the ministerial level discussions that were carried on for the first four years. "At that level there would be less pressure for dramatic breakthroughs.... media and public expectations would diminish. So would political distractions. Greater balance would be restored to the APEC agenda."

Severino's aim is to have APEC as a low-key economic group working more in harmony with ASEAN'S interest. He believes the expansion of APEC is absurd and has weakened its coherence. Messrs. Keating and Kelly openly admit that they want APEC to develop 'more political clout'. The translation of this double-speak is that they want APEC to develop as quickly as possible into the Asian equivalent of the European Union: the Asian branch of a World Government. Keating spent a lot of his time as PM, when he should have been devoting his energies to the betterment of the Australian people, in a campaign to upgrade APEC. He wrote letters, lobbied and talked. He 'persuaded' Bill Clinton to take up the idea and host the first APEC leaders' meeting in Seattle.

Interestingly, the tactics used to seduce and bludgeon the Asian nations into a political union are the same as were used to force post-war Europe into a humanistic, non-representative supranational parliament. Firstly, the individual nations, deceived by false promises of economic prosperity, are tricked into taking on the debt-finance system for their national economy. This is run by a central bank which is owned not by any national interest, but by a private group of international bankers. Because all new money comes into existence in the form of debt under this system, the national debt of each country must inevitably go up and up. Even if one of these countries is very successful in its trading and earns a lot of money from exports, the amount of debt always increases faster than money can be earned, and economic ruin is assured. (It was for this reason that Eric Butler was able to predict with certainty in the 1960s and 1970s that the Japanese economy would crash, while every 'expert' was hailing Japan as the economic miracle that would never fail, and that Australia must tie itself to.)

With the national debt at a high level, the establishment can then bully each nation individually with the same argument. "The reason you have this debt is because you are lazy/inefficient. The only way for you to get out of debt is to become more efficient, export more, and use the dollars earned to reduce the debt, in order to export more we must have free trade. To have free trade, we must enter into an agreement with the other countries in the 'region' and set up a 'free trade zone'". Of course, once this stage is reached, each nation is told, not asked, that this free trade zone, or Common Market, can not remain static, but must develop into a political union, known as the 'EU', or 'AU' as will be the case for us. When we capitulate on one point, it is never the end of the matter. They always want more out of us, until they have pushed us all the way into the total dictatorship of world government.

The ASEAN free trade zone is being speeded up. From this year, 90 percent of traded goods will face a tariff of zero or less than 5 percent. The ASEAN free trade area will be a market of half a billion people with a combined GDP of \$1.3tr. *The Australian*, 8/3/2000, reports that the issue of a common ASEAN currency has been raised, and a feasibility study is under way into an ASEAN-Australia-New Zealand free trade zone. No prizes for guessing what the findings of this study will be. The polities of all three main parties will be falling over each other to get us into this trap. Paul Kelly's heart is already bleeding: "Australia urgently needs a new and constructive debate about our Asian links." Someone should let him know that there is nothing new about the desire of the corrupt for world domination – it is as old as time itself.